

LOCAL MIRROR SYMMETRY FOR THE TOPOLOGICAL VERTEX

JIAN ZHOU

ABSTRACT. For three-partition triple Hodge integrals related to the topological vertex, we derive Eynard-Orantin type recursion relations from the cut-and-join equation. This establishes a version of local mirror symmetry for the local $\mathbb{C}^3$ geometry with three D -branes, as proposed by Mariño [14] and Bouchard-Klemm-Mariño-Pasquetti [4].

1. INTRODUCTION

This is a sequel to an earlier paper [15] in which we study a version of local mirror symmetry for one-legged framed topological vertex. In this paper we generalize the results to the general topological vertex [1]. The motivations of this work and also that of [15] can be found in the Introduction of that paper, so we will only briefly explain them here. Physically the topological vertex is a formalism that computes partition functions in the local A-theory of certain noncompact Calabi-Yau 3-folds with D-branes, based on duality with Chern-Simons theory developed in a series of work. A mathematical theory of the topological vertex [13] has been developed, based on some earlier work on Hodge integrals and localizations on relative moduli spaces. Recently a new formalism for the local B-theory on the mirror of toric Calabi-Yau threefolds has been proposed in [14, 4], inspired by the recursion procedure of [8] discovered first in the context of matrix models. It is then very interesting to verify local mirror symmetry in arbitrary genera using this new formalism of the local B-theory, and this has been done in many cases in [14] and [4]. For the simplest case which is the one-legged framed topological vertex, Bouchard and Mariño [5] made a conjecture based on the proposed new formalism of the B-theory in [14, 4]. They also made a similar conjecture for Hurwitz numbers, which has recently been proved by Borot-Eynard-Safnuk-Mulase [3] and Eynard-Safnuk-Mulase [7] by two different methods. More recently, two slightly different proofs for the Bouchard-Mariño Conjecture for the one-legged framed topological vertex have appeared [6, 15]. They both use ideas

from [7]. In this paper we will make a generalization of [15] to study the case of general topological vertex. The following is our main result:

Theorem 1. *The three-partition triple Hodge integrals related to the topological vertex satisfy some Eynard-Orantin type recursion relations, as proposed by Mariño [14] and Bouchard-Klemm-Mariño-Pasquetti [4].*

Indeed, such recursion relations can be derived from the cut-and-join equation satisfied by these Hodge integrals by the same method as in [15].

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In §2 we elaborate on some constructions in the study of the local mirror symmetries of $\mathbb{C}^3$. For example, we show that some Lagrangian submanifolds correspond to complex submanifolds in the mirror manifold, but some do not. In §3 we derive some recursion relations for the three-partition triple Hodge integrals related to the topological vertex using a cut-and-join equation they satisfy. Finally in §4, we reformulate the recursion relations in terms of Eynard-Orantin type recursions following the proposal of Mariño [14] and Bouchard-Klemm-Mariño-Pasquetti [4].

Acknowledgements. This research is partially supported by two NSFC grants (10425101 and 10631050) and a 973 project grant NKBRPC (2006cB805905).

2. THE MIRROR GEOMETRY OF $\mathbb{C}^3$

For general cases of local mirror constructions, see [11, 10, 2, 4]. In this section we will go through some details of the constructions for $\mathbb{C}^3$.

2.1. T-duality on flat 3-tori. Let V be a Euclidean space of dimension 3, and let $\Gamma \subset V$ be a lattice. Then V/Γ is a flat 3-torus. Let V^* be the dual space of V , endowed with the dual Euclidean metric. Let $\Gamma^* \subset V^*$ be the normalized dual lattice, i.e.,

$$(1) \quad \Gamma^* = \{\varphi \in V^* \mid \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \langle \varphi, v \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall v \in \Gamma\}.$$

The torus V^*/Γ^* will be referred to as the *T-dual* of V/Γ . Now because $(V^*)^* \cong V$ and $(\Gamma^*)^* \cong \Gamma$, the T-dual of V^*/Γ^* is V/Γ .

Example 2.1. Let V be $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the standard metric, Γ be the lattice generated by $(2\pi r_1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 2\pi r_2, 0)$, and $(0, 0, 2\pi r_3)$. Then V/Γ is a Riemannian product of three copies of circles, with radii r_1 , r_2 and $\frac{r_3}{2\pi}$, respectively. The dual space V^* is still $\mathbb{R}^3$ with standard metric, Γ^* is now the lattice generated by $(2\pi/r_1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 2\pi/r_2, 0)$, and $(0, 0, 2\pi/r_3)$, and V^*/Γ^* is a Riemannian product of three copies of circles, with radii $1/r_1$, $1/r_2$ and $1/r_3$, respectively.

Let $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ be a sublattice, which spans a linear subspace $V_1 \subset V$, then V_1/Γ_1 is a subtorus of V/Γ . One can get a family of 2-tori by translating the subspace V_1 by vectors in V : Given any $v \in V_1$, each affine subspace $v + V_1$ determines a subtorus in V/Γ . Let $\Gamma_1^\perp \subset \Gamma^*$ be the sublattice of Γ^* , orthogonal to Γ_1 , i.e.,

$$(2) \quad \Gamma_1^\perp = \{\varphi \in \Gamma^* \mid \varphi(v) = 0, \forall v \in \Gamma_1\}.$$

It is easy to see that the linear subspace spanned by $\Gamma_1^\perp$ in V^* is the subspace $V_1^\perp$, which is the orthogonal complement of V_1 in V^* . The subtorus $V_1^\perp/\Gamma_1^\perp$ will be referred as the *T-dual* of $V_1/\Gamma_1 \subset V/\Gamma$. We can also obtain from it a family of subtori by translating $V_1^\perp$ by vectors in V^* .

Note $(\Gamma_1^\perp)^\perp = \Gamma_1$ and $(V_1^\perp)^\perp = V_1$, the T-dual of $V_1^\perp/\Gamma_1^\perp \subset V^*/\Gamma^*$ is V_1/Γ_1 .

Example 2.2. Let V and Γ be as in Example 2.1. Let Γ_1 be the sublattice generated by $(2\pi r_1, 4\pi r_2, 0)$. Then $\Gamma_1^\perp$ is the sublattice generated by $(-4\pi/r_2, 2\pi/r_1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 2\pi/r_3)$. In this case V_1/Γ_1 is a circle, and $V_1^\perp/\Gamma_1^\perp$ is a 2-torus.

2.2. A degenerate torus fibration of $\mathbb{C}^3$. Consider the following natural torus action on $U(1)^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$:

$$(3) \quad (e^{i\alpha_1}, e^{i\alpha_2}, e^{i\alpha_3}) \cdot (z_1, z_2, z_3) = (e^{i\alpha_1} z_1, e^{i\alpha_2} z_2, e^{i\alpha_3} z_3).$$

This is a Hamiltonian action with moment map given by:

$$(4) \quad \mu : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3, \quad \mu(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{1}{2}(|z_1|^2, |z_2|^2, |z_3|^2),$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is the half line $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq 0\}$. The inverse image of μ is generically a flat 3-torus, but along the boundary of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3$, it may degenerate to a 2-torus, a circle or a point. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$. We will focus on $\mu : (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^3$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ is the set of nonzero complex numbers. This is a fibration with flat 3-tori as fibers. By adding the degenerate fibers corresponding to the points on $\partial\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3$, one gets a partial compactification: The union of the degenerate fibers form a divisor with normal crossing in $\mathbb{C}^3$:

$$\{(z_1, z_2, 0) \mid z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}\} \cup \{(z_1, 0, z_3) \mid z_1, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}\} \cup \{(0, z_2, z_3) \mid z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

On $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ one can use the polar coordinates on each copies of $\mathbb{C}^*$, then the Euclidean metric becomes

$$(5) \quad g = \sum_{j=1}^3 (dr_j^2 + r_j^2 d\theta_j^2).$$

2.3. T-duality of the torus fibration. Note we have a fibration of flat 3-tori, so we can apply the T-duality to each fiber. More precisely, one can define a new metric on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$:

$$(6) \quad g^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^3 (dr_j^2 + \frac{1}{r_j^2} d\theta_j^2).$$

In this metric, the 3-tori in the fibers becomes their dual tori. This metric is no longer Hermitian with respect to the original complex structure. For the metric $\hat{g}$ to be a Hermitian metric, the dual almost complex structure is taken to be:

$$(7) \quad J^\vee\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r_j}\right) = r_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}, \quad J^\vee\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}\right) = -\frac{1}{r_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j}.$$

On differential 1-forms, the almost complex structure acts by:

$$(8) \quad dr_j \mapsto -\frac{1}{r_j} d\theta_j, \quad d\theta_j \mapsto r_j dr_j.$$

Note the action of the original almost complex structure acts as follows on tangent vectors and 1-forms:

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} \mapsto \frac{1}{r_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \mapsto -r_j \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j},$$

$$(10) \quad dr_j \mapsto -r_j d\theta_j, \quad d\theta_j \mapsto \frac{1}{r_j} dr_j.$$

In the dual almost complex structure, $\frac{1}{2}r_j^2 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_j i$ are new complex local coordinates, because $r_j dr_j + \sqrt{-1}d\theta_j$ are now of type $(1, 0)$. Because θ_j is multiple valued, we take

$$(11) \quad y_j = \exp(-(\frac{1}{2}r_j^2 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_j)).$$

Denote by $X^\vee$ the space $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ with the dual complex coordinates $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$. Note because $|y_j| < 1$, $X^\vee$ is no longer a complex 3-torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$, but instead a product of three copies of punctured open unit disc

$$\{y \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |y| < 1\}.$$

We will denote this dual complex space by $X^\vee$. In the dual complex coordinates the metric $\hat{g}$ can be written as:

$$(12) \quad \hat{g} = -\sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{|dy_j|^2}{|y_j|^2 \cdot \ln |y_j|^2}.$$

Note this is a complete metric, but no longer Ricci-flat. The dual symplectic form is given by

$$(13) \quad \omega^\vee = - \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{r_j} dr_j \wedge d\theta_j = - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{dy_j \wedge d\bar{y}_j}{|y_j|^2 \cdot \ln |y_j|^2}.$$

Now the 3-torus action (3) is given in polar coordinates by

$$(14) \quad r_j \mapsto r_j, \quad \theta_j \mapsto \theta_j + \alpha_j.$$

Therefore, it induces the following action on the mirror manifold:

$$(15) \quad y_j \mapsto e^{i\alpha_j} y_j.$$

This is again a Hamiltonian action with moment map $\mu^\vee : X^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by:

$$(16) \quad \mu^\vee(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (\ln \ln |y_1|^2, \ln \ln |y_2|^2, \ln \ln |y_3|^2).$$

2.4. Lagrangian submanifolds of Aganagic-Vafa type. We recall some Lagrangian submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^3$ constructed by Aganagic and Vafa [2]. Let

$$(17) \quad m_1\mu_1 + m_2\mu_2 + m_3\mu_3 = \alpha_1,$$

$$(18) \quad n_1\mu_1 + n_2\mu_2 + n_3\mu_3 = \alpha_2$$

be two planes in $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ that intersects along a ray l in $\mathbb{R}_+^3$. We assume that m_i, n_i are integers. This ray can also be described by:

$$(19) \quad (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = (a_1, a_2, a_3) + t_1(k_1, k_2, k_3),$$

for some integers k_1, k_2, k_3 and nonnegative integers a_1, a_2, a_3, t_2 . For $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(20) \quad \theta_i = m_i s + n_i t + b_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

determines a subtorus K of the 3-torus. It is clear that $l \times K$ is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

Example 2.3. Consider the following complex conjugate involution on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$:

$$(21) \quad (z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto \left(\frac{a^2}{\bar{z}_1}, \bar{z}_3, \bar{z}_2 \right),$$

for some $a > 0$. The fixed point set is a Lagrangian submanifold given by

$$(22) \quad \{(ae^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}, r_2 e^{-i\theta_2}) \mid \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+\}.$$

This is a Lagrangian submanifold of Aganagic-Vafa type which corresponds to the following ray in $\mathbb{R}_+^3$:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}a^2, t, t\right), \quad t > 0.$$

A Lagrangian submanifold will be said to be in phase I, phase II or phase III if it corresponds to a ray of the form (a, t, t) , (t, a, t) or (t, t, a) for fixed $a > 0$, respectively.

Example 2.4. One can also consider the following complex conjugate involution [12]:

$$(23) \quad (z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}_1}, \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_3, \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2\right).$$

The fixed point set is a Lagrangian submanifold given by

$$(24) \quad \{(e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2}, r_2 e^{-i(\theta_1+\theta_2)}) \mid \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+\}.$$

This is not of Aganagic-Vafa type.

2.5. Mirror B -branes of Lagrangian submanifold of Aganagic-Vafa type. One can apply the T-duality to each of the fibers in a Lagrangian submanifold of Aganagic-Vafa type, because they are subtori of the 3-tori. It was claimed in [2] that this will yield a complex submanifold. We now verify this claim by the discussion of the dual almost complex structure presented in §2.3. Take a dual subtorus K of dimension 2 in T^3 as in (20). Its dual can be taken a circle given in the theta coordinates by

$$(25) \quad m_1 \theta_1 + m_2 \theta_2 + m_3 \theta_3 = \beta_1,$$

$$(26) \quad n_1 \theta_1 + n_2 \theta_2 + n_3 \theta_3 = \beta_2,$$

or equivalently

$$(27) \quad (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = (c_1, c_2, c_3) + t_2(k_1, k_2, k_3).$$

Combined with (20), one sees that the tangent space is given by the linear space of the following two vectors:

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 k_j \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 k_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}$$

This is $J^\vee$ -invariant, therefore, we have proved the following

Theorem 2.1. *The mirror dual $l \times K^\vee$ of a Lagrangian submanifold $l \times K$ of Aganagic type is a complex submanifold of $X^\vee$.*

Remark 2.1. It is straightforward to extend this result to the case of $\mathbb{C}^n$ for arbitrary n and subtorus of any codimension.

In our case, $l \times K^\vee$ can be explicitly given in the y_j -coordinates. By (20) and (27):

$$(28) \quad y_j = e^{-(a_j + ic_j)} e^{-k_j(t_1 + it_2)},$$

where $t_1, t_2 > 0$, or by (17), (18), (25), (26), we have

$$(29) \quad y_1^{m_1} y_2^{m_2} y_3^{m_3} = e^{-(\alpha_1 + i\beta_1)},$$

$$(30) \quad y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} y_3^{n_3} = e^{-(\alpha_2 + i\beta_2)}.$$

Example 2.5. The mirror dual of the Lagrangian submanifold in Example 2.3 is given by:

$$(31) \quad y_1 = e^{-(a^2/2 + c_1 i)}, \quad y_2 = e^{-c_2 i} e^{-(t_1 + it_2)}, \quad y_3 = e^{-c_3 i} e^{-(t_1 + it_2)}.$$

Example 2.6. The mirror dual of the Lagrangian submanifold in Example 2.4 is given by

$$r_1 = 1, \quad r_2 = r_3 = r, \quad \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta_3 = \theta.$$

Therefore, its tangent space is spanned by the following vectors;

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r_3}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}$$

This is not $J^\vee$ -invariant, and so $l \times K^\vee$ is not a complex submanifold of $X^\vee$.

2.6. The mirror curve of $\mathbb{C}^3$. The mirror geometry of $\mathbb{C}^3$ according to the construction of Hori-Iqbal-Vafa [10] is not the mirror manifold $X^\vee$ above, but instead the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^2 \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ defined by the following equation

$$(32) \quad uv = y_1 + y_2 + y_3$$

where $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$, modulo the following action by $\mathbb{C}^*$:

$$(33) \quad t \cdot (u, v, y_1, y_2, y_3) \mapsto (tu, v, ty_1, ty_2, ty_3).$$

Denote this space by $\hat{X}$, and by $[u, v, y_1, y_2, y_3]$ the equivalence class of a point (u, v, y_1, y_2, y_3) in this space. There is a natural projection map $\pi : \hat{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by

$$(34) \quad \pi([u, v, y_1, y_2, y_3]) = [y_1 : y_2 : y_3] \in \mathbb{P}^2.$$

The base space of this projection is the projectivized $X^\vee$:

$$(35) \quad \{[y_1 : y_2 : y_3] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid y_1, y_2, y_3 \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2\},$$

and the fiber $\pi^{-1}[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ is the space

$$(36) \quad \{(\tilde{u}, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \tilde{u}v = \frac{y_1}{y_3} + \frac{y_2}{y_3} + 1\}.$$

This is copy of $\mathbb{C}^*$ when the RHS does not vanish, but it becomes a copy of normal crossing singular set $\{(\tilde{u}, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \tilde{u}v = 0\}$ when

$$(37) \quad y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 0.$$

One can embed $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ in this space as follows:

$$(38) \quad (y_1, y_2, y_3) \mapsto (w_1, w_2, w_3, u, v) = (y_1, y_2, 1, y_3, \frac{y_1 + y_2 + 1}{y_3}),$$

The curve

$$(39) \quad \{[y_1 : y_2 : y_3] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid y_1, y_2, y_3 \in \mathbb{C}^*, y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 0\}$$

is called the *mirror curve* of $\mathbb{C}^3$. This is a copy of $\mathbb{C}^*$, or equivalently, $\mathbb{P}^1$ with three points $0, -1, \infty$ removed. If we take $z = \frac{y_1}{y_3}$ as coordinate on the mirror curve, $z = 0, 1, \infty$ correspond to $[y_1 : y_2 : y_3] = [0 : -1 : 1]$, $[-1 : 1 : 0]$ and $[1 : -1 : 0]$ respectively. There are different ways to realize the mirror curve as a plane curve. If one takes

$$(40) \quad \tilde{X} = \frac{y_1}{y_3}, \quad \tilde{Y} = \frac{y_2}{y_3},$$

then one gets

$$(41) \quad \tilde{X} + \tilde{Y} + 1 = 0;$$

if one takes

$$(42) \quad X' = \frac{y_3}{y_1}, \quad Y' = \frac{y_2}{y_1},$$

then one gets

$$(43) \quad X' + Y' + 1 = 0$$

and

$$(44) \quad X' = \tilde{X}^{-1}, \quad Y' = \tilde{X}^{-1}\tilde{Y}.$$

One can also take

$$(45) \quad X = \tilde{x}\tilde{y}^a, \quad Y = \tilde{y}$$

for any integer a , then one gets:

$$(46) \quad X + Y^a + Y^{a+1} = 0.$$

Let $X = -(-1)^a x$ and $Y = -Y$, then one gets:

$$(47) \quad x = y^a - y^{a+1}.$$

This is the equation for the framed mirror curve.

3. RECURSION RELATIONS FROM CUT-AND-JOIN EQUATION FOR THE TOPOLOGICAL VERTEX

In this section we will first recall the definition of the three-partition triple Hodge integrals related to the topological vertex. Then we will derive some recursions relations using the cut-and-join equation.

3.1. Partitions. Recall that a partition μ of a nonnegative integer d is a sequence of positive integers $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n > 0)$ such that

$$d = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_n.$$

We write $|\mu| = d$, and $l(\mu) = n$. The following numbers associated with a partition μ are often used:

$$(48) \quad |\text{Aut}(\mu)| = \prod_j m_j(\mu)!, \quad \text{where} \quad m_j(\mu) = \#\{i : \mu_i = j\},$$

$$(49) \quad z_\mu = |\text{Aut}(\mu)| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i,$$

$$(50) \quad \kappa_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \mu_i(\mu_i - 2i + 1),$$

For convenience we also consider the partition of 0 and write it as $\emptyset$. We use the following conventions:

$$(51) \quad |\text{Aut}(\emptyset)| = 1, \quad z_\emptyset = 1, \quad \kappa_\emptyset = 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of partitions, and let

$$\mathcal{P}_+ = \mathcal{P} - \{\emptyset\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_+^2 = \mathcal{P}^2 - \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_+^3 = \mathcal{P}^3 - \{(\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset)\}.$$

Given a triple of partitions $\vec{\mu} = (\mu^1, \mu^2, \mu^3) \in \mathcal{P}^3$, we define

$$l(\vec{\mu}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 l(\mu^i), \quad \text{Aut}(\vec{\mu}) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \text{Aut}(\mu^i).$$

3.2. Three-Partition Hodge Integrals related to the topological vertex. Let T^3 act on $\mathbb{C}^3$ by

$$(52) \quad (e^{iw_1}, e^{iw_2}, e^{iw_3}) \cdot (z_1, z_2, z_3) = (e^{iw_1} z_1, e^{iw_2} z_2, e^{iw_3} z_3).$$

Elements of the subgroup of T^3 that preserves the holomorphic volume form $dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3$ satisfy the following condition:

$$(53) \quad w_1 + w_2 + w_3 = 0.$$

We will focus on this subgroup and assume this condition throughout the rest of this section. For simplicity of notations, we will write:

$$(54) \quad \mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3), \quad w_4 = w_1.$$

We will let

$$(55) \quad a_i := \frac{w_{i+1}}{w_i},$$

and write $a_1 = a$. Then we have:

$$(56) \quad \frac{w_3}{w_2} = -\frac{a+1}{a}, \quad \frac{w_1}{w_3} = -\frac{1}{a+1}.$$

For $\vec{\mu} = (\mu^1, \mu^2, \mu^3) \in \mathcal{P}_+^3$, we let

$$d_{\vec{\mu}}^1 = 0, \quad d_{\vec{\mu}}^2 = l(\mu^1), \quad d_{\vec{\mu}}^3 = l(\mu^1) + l(\mu^2).$$

We define the *three-partition triple Hodge integral* to be

$$(57) \quad G_{g;\vec{\mu}}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{(-\sqrt{-1})^{l(\vec{\mu})}}{|\text{Aut}(\vec{\mu})|} \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{l(\mu^i)} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\mu_j^i-1} (\mu_j^i w_{i+1} + k w_i)}{(\mu_j^i - 1)! w_i^{\mu_j^i-1}} \\ \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,l(\vec{\mu})}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{\Lambda_g^\vee(w_i) w_i^{l(\vec{\mu})-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{l(\mu^i)} (w_i (w_i - \mu_j^i \psi_{d_{\vec{\mu}}^i+j}))},$$

where $\Lambda_g^\vee(u) = u^g - \lambda_1 u^{g-1} + \dots + (-1)^g \lambda_g$. See [13] for its relationship with the topological vertex. From the definition, we have the following cyclic symmetries:

$$(58) \quad G_{g;\mu^1, \mu^2, \mu^3}(w_1, w_2, w_3) = G_{g;\mu^2, \mu^3, \mu^1}(w_2, w_3, w_1).$$

Note $\sqrt{-1}^{l(\vec{\mu})} G_{g;\vec{\mu}}(\mathbf{w})$ is a rational function in w_1, w_2, w_3 with rational coefficients, and by a simple dimension counting is homogeneous of degree 0. We will write

$$(59) \quad G_{g,\vec{\mu}}(a) := G_{g,\vec{\mu}}(\mathbf{w}) = G_{g,\mu}(1, a, -1-a).$$

Several exceptional cases that play important roles need special care. Recall for $n \geq 3$, the following identity holds:

$$(60) \quad \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}} \frac{1}{(1 - a_1 \psi_1) \cdots (1 - a_n \psi_n)} = (a_1 + \cdots + a_n)^{n-3}.$$

This identity inspires the following useful conventions:

$$(61) \quad \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}} \frac{1}{(1 - a_1 \psi_1)(1 - a_2 \psi_2)} = \frac{1}{a_1 + a_2},$$

$$(62) \quad \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2}} \frac{1}{1 - a_1 \psi_1} = \frac{1}{a_1^2}.$$

By these conventions we have in the case of $l(\vec{\mu}) = 1$,

$$G_{0;(m),\emptyset,\emptyset}(a) = -\sqrt{-1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (ma + j)}{(m-1)!} \frac{1}{m^2},$$

and similar expressions for $G_{0;\emptyset,(m),\emptyset}(a)$ and $G_{0;\emptyset,\emptyset,(m)}(a)$ by changing a to $a_2 = -\frac{a+1}{a}$ and $a_3 = -\frac{1}{a+1}$ respectively. In the case of $l(\vec{\mu}) = 2$, we have

$$G_{0;(m_1,m_2),\emptyset,\emptyset}(a) = \frac{a(a+1)}{1 + \delta_{m_1,m_2}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-1} (m_i a + j)}{(m_i - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2},$$

and similar expressions for $G_{0;\emptyset,(m_1,m_2),\emptyset}(a)$ and $G_{0;\emptyset,\emptyset,(m_1,m_2)}(a)$ by changing a to $-\frac{a+1}{a}$ and $-\frac{1}{a+1}$ respectively; furthermore,

$$(63) \quad G_{0;(m_1^1),(m_1^2),\emptyset}(a) = -\frac{1}{a_3} \prod_{i=1,2} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_1^i-1} (m_1^i a_i + j)}{(m_1^i - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{m_1^1 a + m_1^2},$$

$$(64) \quad G_{0;\emptyset,(m_1^2),(m_1^3)}(a) = -\frac{1}{a_1} \prod_{i=2,3} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_1^i-1} (m_1^i a_i + j)}{(m_1^i - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{m_1^2 a_2 + m_1^3},$$

$$(65) \quad G_{0;(m_1^1),\emptyset,(m_1^3)}(a) = -\frac{1}{a_2} \prod_{i=3,1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_1^i-1} (m_1^i a_i + j)}{(m_1^i - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{m_1^3 a_3 + m_1^1}.$$

3.3. The cut-and-join equation for three-partition Hodge integrals. Let $p^i = (p_1^i, p_2^i, \dots)$ be formal variables. Given a partition μ we define $p_\mu^i = p_1^i \cdots p_{\ell(\mu)}^i$; (note $p_\emptyset^i = 1$). We write

$$\mathbf{p} = (p^1, p^2, p^3), \quad \mathbf{p}_{\vec{\mu}} = p_{\mu^1}^1 p_{\mu^2}^2 p_{\mu^3}^3.$$

Define the generating functions of the three-partition Hodge integrals by

$$G_{\vec{\mu}}(\lambda; a) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2g-2+\ell(\vec{\mu})} G_{g,\vec{\mu}}(a),$$

$$G(\lambda; \mathbf{p}; a) = \sum_{\vec{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_+^3} G_{\vec{\mu}}(\lambda; a) \mathbf{p}_{\vec{\mu}},$$

where λ is a formal variable. By the results in [13], the following cut-and-join equation is satisfied by G :

$$(66) \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial a} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(\frac{w_{k+1}}{w_k} \right) \cdot \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left(ijp_{i+j}^k \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial p_i^k \partial p_j^k} + ijp_{i+j}^k \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i^k} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_j^k} + (i+j)p_i^k p_j^k \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_{i+j}^k} \right).$$

By (55) and (56),

$$(67) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(\frac{w_3}{w_2} \right) = \frac{1}{a^2}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(\frac{w_1}{w_3} \right) = \frac{1}{(a+1)^2}.$$

3.4. The symmetrized generating function for three-partition Hodge integrals. One can also define

$$G_g(\mathbf{p}; a) = \sum_{\vec{\mu}} G_{g;\vec{\mu}}(a) \mathbf{p}_{\vec{\mu}}.$$

Because $G_g(\mathbf{p}; a)$ is a formal power series in $p_1^k, p_2^k, \dots, p_n^k, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, for each $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$, one can obtain from it a formal power series $\Phi_{g;\mathbf{n}}(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a)$ by applying the following symmetrization operator [9, 6]:

$$p_{\mu^k}^k \mapsto (\sqrt{-1})^{n_k} \delta_{l(\mu^k), n_k} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n_k}} (x_{\sigma(1)}^k)^{\mu_1^k} \cdots (x_{\sigma(n_k)}^k)^{\mu_{n_k}^k}.$$

$$(68) \quad G_{g;\vec{\mu}}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{(-\sqrt{-1})^{\ell(\vec{\mu})}}{|\text{Aut}(\vec{\mu})|} \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\mu^i)} \frac{\prod_{a=1}^{\mu_j^i-1} (\mu_j^i w_{i+1} + a w_i)}{(\mu_j^i - 1)! w_i^{\mu_j^i-1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,\ell(\vec{\mu})}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{\Lambda_g^\vee(w_i) w_i^{\ell(\vec{\mu})-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\mu^i)} (w_i(w_i - \mu_j^i \psi_{d_{\vec{\mu}}^i+j}))}$$

From the definition, we have for $2g - 2 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 > 0$,

$$(69) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_{g;\mathbf{n}}(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \\ &= (-a(a+1))^{n_1+n_2+n_3-1} \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \right\rangle_g \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \phi_{b_j^i}^i(x_j^i; a_i) \\ & \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{a^{2+b_j^2}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n_3} \frac{1}{(-a-1)^{2+b_j^3}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_{b_1} \cdots \tau_{b_n} T_g(a) \rangle_g &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{b_i} \cdot \Lambda_g^\vee(1) \Lambda_g^\vee(a) \Lambda_g^\vee(-1-a), \\ \phi_b(x; a) &= \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (ma + j)}{(m-1)!} m^b x^m. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity of notations we will set

$$(70) \quad \phi_b^1(x; a) = \phi_b(x; a),$$

$$(71) \quad \phi_b^2(x; a_2) = \frac{1}{a^{2+b^2}} \phi_b(x; a_2),$$

$$(72) \quad \phi_b^3(x; a_3) = \frac{1}{(-a-1)^{2+b}} \phi_b(x; a_3).$$

3.5. Exceptional cases. We now study several exceptional cases that will play a key role later. First we have

$$(73) \quad \Phi_{0;1,0,0}(x_1^1; a) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (ma + j)}{(m-1)!} m^{-2} (x_1^1)^m = \phi_{-2}(x_1^1; a);$$

similarly,

$$(74) \quad \Phi_{0;0,1,0}(x_1^2; a) = \phi_{-2}(x_1^2; a_2),$$

$$(75) \quad \Phi_{0;0,0,1}(x_1^3; a) = \phi_{-2}(x_1^3; a_3).$$

So we have

$$(76) \quad x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \Phi_{0;1,0,0}(x_1^1; a) = \phi_{-1}(x_1^1; a) = -\ln y(x_1^1; a),$$

$$(77) \quad x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \Phi_{0;0,1,0}(x_1^2; a) = \phi_{-1}(x_1^2; a_2) = -\ln y(x_1^2; a_2),$$

$$(78) \quad x^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \Phi_{0;0,0,1}(x_1^3; a) = \phi_{-1}(x_1^3; a_3) = -\ln y(x_1^3; a_3),$$

where

$$(79) \quad y(x; a) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (na + j)}{n!} x^n.$$

Secondly,

$$\Phi_{0;2,0,0}(x_1^1, x_2^1; a) = -a(a+1) \sum_{m_1, m_2 \geq 1} \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-1} (m_i a + j)}{(m_i - 1)!} \cdot \frac{(x_1^1)^{m_1} (x_2^1)^{m_2}}{m_1 + m_2}.$$

This has been treated in [6, 15]. It is easy to see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (80) \quad & \left(x_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} + x_2^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2^1}\right) \Phi_{0;2,0,0}(x_1^1, x_2^1; a) \\
 &= -a(a+1) \frac{t(x_1^1; a) - 1}{a+1} \cdot \frac{t(x_2^1; a) - 1}{a+1} \\
 &= -a(a+1) \frac{y_1 - 1}{(a+1)y_1 - a} \cdot \frac{y_2 - 1}{(a+1)y_2 - a}.
 \end{aligned}$$

One can verify that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (81) \quad & \Phi_{0;2,0,0}(x_1^1, x_2^1; a) \\
 &= -\ln\left(\frac{y(x_2^1; a) - y(x_1^1; a)}{x_1^1 - x_2^1}\right) + \ln \frac{1 - y(x_1^1; a)}{x_1^1} + \ln \frac{1 - y(x_2^1; a)}{x_2^1},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (82) \quad & x_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} \Phi_{0;2,0,0}(x_1^1, x_2^1; a) \\
 &= -\left(\frac{1}{y(x_1^1; a) - y(x_2^1; a)} - \frac{1}{y(x_1^1; a) - 1}\right) x_1^1 \frac{\partial y(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1} + \frac{x_2^1}{x_1^1 - x_2^1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (83) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2^1} \Phi_{0;2,0,0}(x_1^1, x_2^1; a) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{(y(x_1^1; a) - y(x_2^1; a))^2} \frac{\partial y(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1} \frac{\partial y(x_2^1; a)}{\partial x_2^1} + \frac{1}{(x_1^1 - x_2^1)^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

One can get similar results for $\Phi_{0;0,2,0}(x_1^2, x_2^2; a)$ and $\Phi_{0;0,0,2}(x_1^3, x_2^3; a)$ by changing the indices and changing a to a_2 and a_3 respectively. The case of $\Phi_{0;1,1,0}(x_1^1; x_2^2; a)$, $\Phi_{0;0,1,1}(x_2^2; x_1^3; a)$ and $\Phi_{0;1,0,1}(x_1^1; x_2^3; a)$ can be treated in the same fashion. First,

$$\Phi_{0;1,1,0}(x_1^1; x_2^2; a) = -(a+1) \sum_{m^1, m^2 \geq 1} \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m^i-1} (m^i a_i + j)}{(m^i - 1)!} \cdot \frac{(x_1^1)^{m^1} (x_2^2)^{m^2}}{m^1 a + m^2}.$$

It is easy to see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (84) \quad & \left(ax_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} + x_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2^2}\right) \Phi_{0;1,1,0}(x_1^1; x_2^2; a) \\
 &= -(a+1) \frac{t(x_1^1; a) - 1}{a+1} \cdot \frac{t(x_2^2; a_2) - 1}{a_2+1} \\
 &= -(a+1) \frac{y(x_1^1; a) - 1}{(a+1)y(x_1^1; a) - a} \cdot \frac{y(x_2^2; a_2) - 1}{(a_2+1)y(x_2^2; a_2) - a_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Write $y_1 = y(x_1^1; a)$ and $y_2 = y(x_1^2; a_2)$, one then gets:

$$(85) \quad (ax_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} + x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^2}) \Phi_{0;1,1,0}(x_1^1; x_1^2; a) = \frac{a(y_1 - 1)(y_2 - 1)}{(y_1 - \frac{a}{a+1})(y_2 - (a+1))}.$$

One can verify that:

$$(86) \quad \Phi_{0;1,1,0}(x_1^1; x_1^2; a) = -\ln \frac{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - y(x_1^2; a_2)}{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - 1}.$$

Indeed,

$$(87) \quad \begin{aligned} & -(ax_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} + x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^2}) \ln \frac{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - y(x_1^2; a_2)}{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - 1} \\ &= -\frac{ax_1^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1} - x_1^2 \frac{\partial y(x_1^2; a_2)}{\partial x_1^2}}{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - y(x_1^2; a_2)} + \frac{ax_1^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall

$$x_1^2 \frac{\partial y(x_1^2; a_2)}{\partial x_1^2} = \frac{y(x_1^2; a_2)(1 - y(x_1^2; a_2))}{a_2 - (a_2 + 1)y(x_1^2; a_2)} = -\frac{ay_2(1 - y_2)}{(a + 1) - y_2}.$$

Now we use $x_1^1 = y(x_1^1; a)^a(1 - y(x_1^1; a))$ to get:

$$(88) \quad \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) = \frac{1}{x_1^1} y(x_1^1; a)^a = \frac{1}{1 - y(x_1^1; a)} = \frac{1}{1 - y_1}.$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1} = x_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - y(x_1^1; a)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - y(x_1^1; a))^2} x_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) \\ &= \frac{y(x_1^1; a)}{(1 - y(x_1^1; a)) \cdot (a - (a + 1)y(x_1^1; a))} = \frac{y_1}{(1 - y_1)(a - (a + 1)y_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the RHS of (87) is

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\frac{ay_1}{(1-y_1)(a-(a+1)y_1)} + \frac{ay_2(1-y_2)}{a+1-y_2}}{\frac{1}{1-y_1} - y_2} + \frac{\frac{ay_1}{(1-y_1)(a-(a+1)y_1)}}{\frac{1}{1-y_1} - 1} \\ &= \frac{a(y_1 - 1)(y_2 - 1)}{(y_1 - \frac{a}{a+1})(y_2 - (a + 1))}. \end{aligned}$$

This matches with the RHS of (85). From (86) one easily gets:

$$(89) \quad x_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} \Phi_{0;1,1,0}(x_1^1; x_1^2; a) = -\frac{x_1^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - y(x_1^2; a_2)} + \frac{x_1^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - 1},$$

$$(90) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^2} \Phi_{0;1,1,0}(x_1^1; x_1^2; a) = - \frac{\frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1} \frac{\partial y(x_1^2; a_2)}{\partial x_1^2}}{(\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - y(x_1^2; a_2))^2}.$$

By the cyclic symmetries one gets from (86):

$$(91) \quad \Phi_{0;0,1,1}(x_1^2; x_1^3; a) = - \ln \frac{\tilde{y}(x_1^2; a_2) - y(x_1^3; a_3)}{\tilde{y}(x_1^2; a_2) - 1},$$

and

$$(92) \quad \Phi_{0;1,0,1}(x_1^1; x_1^3; a) = - \ln \frac{\tilde{y}(x_1^3; a_3) - y(x_1^1; a_1)}{\tilde{y}(x_1^3; a_3) - 1}.$$

And so we have

$$(93) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^3} \Phi_{0;0,1,1}(x_1^2; x_1^3; a) = - \frac{\frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^2; a_2)}{\partial x_1^2} \frac{\partial y(x_1^3; a_3)}{\partial x_1^3}}{(\tilde{y}(x_1^2; a_2) - y(x_1^3; a_3))^2},$$

and

$$(94) \quad x_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} \Phi_{0;1,0,1}(x_1^1; x_1^3; a) = \frac{x_1^1 \frac{\partial y(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_1^3; a_3) - y(x_1^1; a_1)},$$

$$(95) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^3} \Phi_{0;1,0,1}(x_1^1; x_1^3; a) = - \frac{\frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^3; a_3)}{\partial x_1^3} \frac{\partial y(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1}}{(\tilde{y}(x_1^3; a_3) - y(x_1^1; a))^2}.$$

Remark 3.1. Without the proposal in [4], it will be very difficult for the author to find the explicit expressions (86), (91), and (92).

3.6. The symmetrized cut-and-join equation for three-partition Hodge integrals. Using the analysis in [9], one can obtain the symmetrized version of the cut-and-join equation (66) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (96) \quad & \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \Phi_{g;\mathbf{n}}(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \Phi_{g-1; n_1+1, n_2, n_3}(z_1, z_2, x_{[n_1]_k}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \Big|_{z_1, z_2 = x_k^1} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g \\ A^1 \coprod B^1=[n_1]_k \\ A^2 \coprod B^2=[n_2] \\ A^3 \coprod B^3=[n_3]}} x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^1} \Phi_{g_1; |A^1|+1, |A^2|, |A^3|}(x_k^1, x_{A^1}^1; x_{A^2}^2; x_{A^3}^3; a) \\
 &\quad \cdot x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^1} \Phi_{g_2; |B^1|+1, |B^2|, |B^3|}(x_k^1, x_{B^1}^1; x_{B^2}^2; x_{B^3}^3; a) \\
 &- \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j \in [n_1]_k} \frac{x_j^1}{x_k^1 - x_j^1} \cdot x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{g; n_1-1, n_2, n_3}(x_{[n_1]_j}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \\
 &+ \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have omitted the terms that correspond to cut-and-join operation in x_i^2 and x_i^3 .

3.7. Symmetrized cut-and-join equation in the v -coordinates.

As in [7, 6, 15], introduce the v -coordinates by

$$(97) \quad x_j^i = e^{-(v_j^i)^2/2}.$$

Now for $2g - 2 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 > 0$, (69) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (98) \quad & \Phi_{g;\mathbf{n}}(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \\
 &= (-a(a+1))^{n_1+n_2+n_3-1} \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \xi_{b_j^i}^i(v_j^i; a_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(99) \quad \xi_b^1(v; a) = \xi_b(v; a),$$

$$(100) \quad \xi_b^2(v; a) = \frac{1}{a^{2+b}} \xi_b(v; a_2),$$

$$(101) \quad \xi_b^3(v; a) = \frac{1}{(-a-1)^{2+b}} \xi_b(v; a_3).$$

The first term on the right-hand side is now:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \Phi_{g-1; n_1+1, n_2, n_3}(z_1, z_2, x_{[n_1]_i}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \Big|_{z_1, z_2 = x_k^1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (-a(a+1))^{n_1+n_2+n_3} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{b, c, b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \tau_b \tau_c \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i, j) \neq (1, k)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \\
&\quad \cdot \psi_{b+1}(v_k^1; a) \psi_{c+1}(v_k^1; a) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i, j) \neq (1, k)}} \xi_{b_j^i}^i(v_j^i; a_i).
\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right-hand side has several cases. Case 1. The splitting is stable, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
2g_1 - 1 + |A^1| + |A^2| + |A^3| &> 0, \\
2g_2 - 1 + |B^1| + |B^2| + |B^3| &> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get a term of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{g_1; |A^1|+1, |A^2|, |A^3|}(x_k^1, x_{A^1}^1; x_{A^2}^2; x_{A^3}^3; a) \\
& \cdot x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{g_2; |B^1|+1, |B^2|, |B^3|}(x_k^1, x_{B^1}^1; x_{B^2}^2; x_{B^3}^3; a) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (-a(a+1))^{n_1+n_2+n_3-1} \\
& \cdot \sum_{b, c, b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \tau_b \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j \in A^i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_{g_1}(a) \rangle_{g_1} \cdot \langle \tau_c \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j \in B^i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_{g_2}(a) \rangle_{g_2} \\
& \cdot \psi_{b+1}(v_k^1; a) \psi_{c+1}(v_k^1; a) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i, j) \neq (1, k)}} \xi_{b_j^i}^i(v_j^i; a_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. There are terms that involve exceptional terms of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{0; 1, 0, 0}(x_k^1; a) \cdot x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{g; \mathbf{n}}(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \xi_{-1}(v_k^1; a) \cdot (-a(a+1))^{n_1+n_2+n_3-1} \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \\
& \cdot \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \xi_{b_j^i + \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,k}}^i(v_j^i; a_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (76).

Case 3. We have some unstable terms which combined with the third term on the right-hand side gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{l \in [n_1]_k} (x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{0;2,0,0}(x_k^1, x_l^1; a) - \frac{x_l^1}{x_k^1 - x_l^1}) \\
& \cdot x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{g;n_1-1,n_2,n_3}(x_{[n_1]_l}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \\
= & - \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{l \in [n_1]_k} (\frac{1}{y(x_k^1; a) - y(x_l^1; a)} - \frac{1}{y(x_k^1; a) - 1}) x_k^1 \frac{\partial y(x_k^1; a)}{\partial x_k^1} \\
& \cdot (-a(a+1))^{n_1+n_2+n_3-2} \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,l)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \\
& \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,l)}} \xi_{b_j^i + \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,k}}^i (v_j^i; a_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (82).

Case 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{l=1}^{n_2} x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{1,1,0}^0(x_k^1, x_l^2; a) \cdot x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{n_1,n_2-1,n_3}^g(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]_l}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{l=1}^{n_2} (-\frac{x_k^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_k^1; a)}{\partial x_k^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_k^1; a) - y(x_l^2; a_2)} + \frac{x_k^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_k^1; a)}{\partial x_k^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_k^1; a) - 1}) \\
& \cdot (-a(a+1))^{n_1+n_2+n_3-2} \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (2,l)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \\
& \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (2,l)}} \xi_{b_j^i + \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,k}}^i (v_j^i; a_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (89).

Case 5.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{l=1}^{n_3} x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{1,0,1}^0(x_k^1; x_l^3; a) \cdot x_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^1} \Phi_{n_1, n_2, n_3-1}^g(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]_l}^3; a) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{l=1}^{n_2} \frac{x_k^1 \frac{\partial y(x_k^1; a)}{\partial x_k^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_l^3; a_3) - y(x_k^1; a_1)} \cdot (-a(a+1))^{n_1+n_2+n_3-2} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (3,l)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (3,l)}} \xi_{b_j^i + \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,k}}^i(v_j^i; a_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (94).

So far we have only considered the terms corresponding to cut-and-join in x_k^1 variables. The terms for x_k^2 and x_k^3 can be obtained by cyclic symmetry.

As in [15], we regard both sides of the equation (96) as meromorphic functions in v_1^1 , take the principal parts and then take only the even

powers in v_1^1 . The left-hand side and the ... terms have no contributions. So we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \xi_{-1}^o(v_1^1; a) \cdot \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \cdot \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \xi_{b_j^i + \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,1}}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
 = & \frac{1}{2} a(a+1) \sum_{b, c, b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \tau_b \tau_c \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,1)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \\
 & \cdot \psi_{b+1}(v_1^1; a) \psi_{c+1}(v_1^1; a) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,1)}} \xi_{b_j^i}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
 - & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{stable}} \sum_{b, c, b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \tau_b \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j \in A^i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_{g_1}(a) \rangle_{g_1} \cdot \langle \tau_c \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j \in B^i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_{g_2}(a) \rangle_{g_2} \\
 & \cdot \psi_{b+1}(v_1^1; a) \psi_{c+1}(v_1^1; a) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,1)}} \xi_{b_j^i}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
 - & \frac{1}{a(a+1)} \sum_{l \in [n_1]_1} \left(\frac{1}{y(x_1^1; a) - y(x_l^1; a)} - \frac{1}{y(x_1^1; a) - 1} \right) x_1^1 \frac{\partial y(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1} \\
 & \cdot \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,l)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,l)}} \xi_{b_j^i + \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,1}}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
 + & \frac{1}{a(a+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n_2} \left(-\frac{x_1^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - y(x_l^2; a_2)} + \frac{x_1^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - 1} \right) \\
 & \cdot \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (2,l)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (2,l)}} \xi_{b_j^i + \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,1}}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
 + & \frac{1}{a(a+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n_2} \frac{x_1^1 \frac{\partial y(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_k^1}}{\tilde{y}(x_l^3; a_3) - y(x_1^1; a_1)} \\
 & \cdot \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (3,l)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (3,l)}} \xi_{b_j^i + \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,1}}^i(v_j^i; a_i),
 \end{aligned}$$

modulo terms analytic in v_1 . We now take $\prod_{j=2}^{n_1} x_j^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^1} \prod_{i=2}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} x_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^i}$ on both sides then dividing both sides by $\xi_{-1}^o(v; a)$. This gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \cdot \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \xi_{b_j^i+1}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{\xi_{-1}^o(v_1^1; a)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} a(a+1) \sum_{b,c, b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \tau_b \tau_c \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,1)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \psi_{b+1}(v_1^1; a) \psi_{c+1}(v_1^1; a) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,1)}} \xi_{b_j^i+1}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{stable \\ b,c, b_j^i \geq 0}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j \in A^i} \langle \tau_b \prod_{j \in A^i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_{g_1}(a) \rangle_{g_1} \cdot \langle \tau_c \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j \in B^i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_{g_2}(a) \rangle_{g_2} \\
&\quad \cdot \psi_{b+1}(v_1^1; a) \psi_{c+1}(v_1^1; a) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,1)}} \xi_{b_j^i+1}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{a(a+1)} \sum_{l \in [n_1]_1} \frac{1}{(y(x_1^1; a) - y(x_l^1; a))^2} \cdot x_1^1 \frac{\partial y(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1} \cdot x_l^1 \frac{\partial y(x_l^1; a)}{\partial x_l^1} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,l)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (1,l)}} \xi_{b_j^i+1}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{a(a+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{(\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - y(x_l^2; a_2))^2} \cdot x_1^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_1^1} \cdot x_l^2 \frac{\partial y(x_l^2; a_2)}{\partial x_l^2} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (2,l)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (2,l)}} \xi_{b_j^i+1}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{a(a+1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{(y(x_1^1; a_1) - \tilde{y}(x_l^3; a_3))^2} \cdot x_1^1 \frac{\partial y(x_1^1; a)}{\partial x_k^1} \cdot x_l^3 \frac{\partial \tilde{y}(x_l^3; a)}{\partial x_l^3} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{b_j^i \geq 0} \langle \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (3,l)}} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \rangle_g \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq n_i \\ (i,j) \neq (3,l)}} \xi_{b_j^i+1}^i(v_j^i; a_i) \Big),
\end{aligned}$$

modulo a term with at most a simple pole at 0 in v_1^1 .

4. EYNARD-ORANTIN RECURSION RELATIONS FOR THREE-PARTITION TRIPLE HODGE INTEGRALS

In this section we reformulate the recursion relations for three-partition triple Hodge integrals derived in the end of last section as Eynard-Orantin type recursion relations. This verifies a version of local mirror symmetry proposed by Bouchard-Klemm-Mariño-Pasqueti [4] for the topological vertex.

4.1. Differentials associated to three-partition triple Hodge integrals. Define

$$(102) \quad W_g(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \\ = (-1)^{g-1} \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j^i} \Phi_{n_1, n_2, n_3}^g(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} dx_j^i.$$

Then we have

$$(103) \quad W_g(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a) = (-1)^{g+n_1+n_2+n_3} (a(a+1))^{n_1+n_2+n_3-1} \\ \cdot \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \tau_{b_j^i} \cdot T_g(a) \right\rangle_g \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} d\phi_{b_j^i}(x_j^i; a_i) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{a^{2+b_j^2}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n_3} \frac{1}{(-a-1)^{2+b_j^3}},$$

for $2g - 2 + n > 0$. By (76), (77) and (78), we have

$$(104) \quad W_0(x_1^1; a) = \ln y(x_1^1; a) \frac{dx_1^1}{x_1^1},$$

$$(105) \quad W_0(x_1^2; a) = \ln y(x_1^2; a_2) \frac{dx_1^2}{x_1^2},$$

$$(106) \quad W_0(x_1^3; a) = \ln y(x_1^3; a_3) \frac{dx_1^3}{x_1^3}.$$

By (81) we get:

$$(107) \quad W_0(x_1^1, x_2^1; a) = \frac{dy(x_1^1; a) dy(x_2^1; a)}{(y(x_1^1; a) - y(x_2^1; a))^2} - \frac{dx_1^1 dx_2^1}{(x_1^1 - x_2^1)^2}.$$

Similarly,

$$(108) \quad W_0(x_1^2, x_2^2; a) = \frac{dy(x_1^2; a_2) dy(x_2^2; a_2)}{(y(x_1^2; a_2) - y(x_2^2; a_2))^2} - \frac{dx_1^2 dx_2^2}{(x_1^2 - x_2^2)^2},$$

$$(109) \quad W_0(x_1^3, x_2^3; a) = \frac{dy(x_1^3; a_3) dy(x_2^3; a_3)}{(y(x_1^3; a_3) - y(x_2^3; a_3))^2} - \frac{dx_1^3 dx_2^3}{(x_1^3 - x_2^3)^2}.$$

By (90), (93) and (95), we have

$$(110) \quad W_0(x_1^1; x_1^2; a) = \frac{d\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a)dy(x_1^2; a_2)}{(\tilde{y}(x_1^1; a) - y(x_1^2; a_2))^2},$$

$$(111) \quad W_0(x_1^2; x_1^3; a) = \frac{d\tilde{y}(x_1^2; a_2)dy(x_1^3; a_3)}{(\tilde{y}(x_1^2; a_2) - y(x_1^3; a_3))^2},$$

$$(112) \quad W_0(x_1^1; x_1^3; a) = \frac{d\tilde{y}(x_1^3; a_3)dy(x_1^1; a)}{(\tilde{y}(x_1^3; a_3) - y(x_1^1; a))^2}.$$

4.2. Eynard-Orantin formalism for the topological vertex. According to the proposal in [14, 4], the differentials $W_g(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a)$ can be computed recursively by the Eynard-Orantin formalism, for the framed mirror curve given by (47). By abuse of notations, we will write the differential $W_g(x_{[n_1]}^1; x_{[n_2]}^2; x_{[n_3]}^3; a)$ as $W_g(y_{[n_1]}^1; y_{[n_2]}^2; y_{[n_3]}^3; a)$, where $y_j^i = y(x_j^i; a_i)$. The initial values are given by (104)-(112), and the recursion is given by:

$$(113) \quad W_g(y_{[n_1]}^1; y_{[n_2]}^2; y_{[n_3]}^3; a) = \text{Res}_{z=0} \frac{dE_z(y_1^1)}{\omega(z)} \\ + \left(W_{g-1}(y(z), y(P(z)), y_{[n_1]_1}^1; y_{[n_2]}^2; y_{[n_3]}^3; a) \right. \\ + \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g \\ A^1 \coprod B^1=[n_1]_1}} \sum_{\substack{A^2 \coprod B^2=[n_2] \\ A^3 \coprod B^3=[n_3]}} W_{g_1}(y(z), y_{A^1}^1; y_{A^2}^2; y_{A^3}^3; a) \\ \left. \cdot W_{g_2}(y(P(z)), y_{B^1}^1; y_{B^2}^2; y_{B^3}^3; a) \right),$$

where

$$(114) \quad \omega(z) = (\ln y(z) - \ln y(P(z))) \cdot \frac{dx(z)}{x(z)},$$

$$(115) \quad dE_z(y_1^1) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{y(z_1^1) - y(z)} - \frac{1}{y(z_1^1) - y(P(z))} \right) dy_1.$$

Here for simplicity of notations, we write

$$(116) \quad x(z) = x\left(\frac{a}{a+1} + z; a\right) = \left(\frac{1}{a+1} - z\right)\left(\frac{a}{a+1} + z\right)^a,$$

$$(117) \quad y(z) = \frac{a}{a+1} + z, \quad y_1^1 = y(z_1^1).$$

On the right-hand side of (113), $W_0(\frac{a}{a+1} + z; a)$ and $W_0(\frac{a}{a+1} + P(z); a)$ are understood as 0. Therefore, (113) is a recursion relation that determines $W_g(y_{[n_1]}^1; y_{[n_2]}^2; y_{[n_3]}^3; a)$ for $n_1 > 0$. When $n_1 = 0$, one can use the cyclic symmetry (58) to reduce to the $n_1 > 0$, $n_3 = 0$ case.

Theorem 1 in the Introduction can be rephrased more precisely as

Theorem 4.1. *The differentials $W_g(y_{[n_1]}^1; y_{[n_2]}^2; y_{[n_3]}^3; a)$ satisfy the recursion relations (113).*

When $n_2 = n_3 = 0$, this has been proved in [15] and [6] using ideas from [7]. For the proof of the general case, one needs to show that (113) is equivalent to the recursion relations derived from the cut-and-join equation in the end of §3. It is almost a verbatim straightforward generalization of the treatment in [15], so we will omit it.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Mariño, C. Vafa, *The topological vertex*, Commun. Math. Phys. **254** (2005), 425-478, arXiv:hep-th/0305132.
- [2] M. Aganagic, C. Vafa, *Mirror symmetry, D-branes and counting holomorphic discs*, arXiv:hep-th/0012041.
- [3] G. Borot, B. Eynard, M. Mulase, B. Safnuk, *Hurwitz numbers, matrix models and topological recursion*, arXiv:0906.1206.
- [4] V. Boucharda, A. Klemmb, Marcos Mariño, S. Pasquetti, *Remodeling the B-model*, arXiv:0709.1453.
- [5] V. Bouchard, M. Mariño, *Hurwitz numbers, matrix models and enumerative geometry*, arXiv:0709.1458.
- [6] L. Chen, *Bouchard-Klemm-Mariño-Pasquetti Conjecture for $\mathbb{C}^3$* , arXiv:0910.3739.
- [7] B. Eynard, M. Mulase, B. Safnuk *The Laplace transform of the cut-and-join equation and the Bouchard-Marino conjecture on Hurwitz numbers*, arXiv:0907.5224.
- [8] B. Eynard, N. Orantin, *Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion*, arXiv:math-ph/0702045.
- [9] I.P. Goulden, D. M. Jackson, A. Vainshtein, *The number of ramified coverings of the sphere by the torus and surfaces of higher genera*, Ann. Combinatorics **4** (2000), 27-46.
- [10] K. Hori, A. Iqbal, C. Vafa, *D-branes and mirror symmetry*, arXiv:hep-th/0005247.
- [11] K. Hori, C. Vafa, *Mirror symmetry*, arXiv:hep-th/0002222.
- [12] S. Katz, C.-C. Liu, *Enumerative geometry of stable maps with Lagrangian boundary conditions and multiple covers of the disc*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5** (2001), 1-49.
- [13] J. Li, C.-C. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou, *A mathematical theory of the topological vertex*, arXiv:math/0408426.
- [14] M. Mariño, *Open string amplitudes and large order behavior in topological string theory*, arXiv:hep-th/0612127.
- [15] J. Zhou, *Local mirror symmetry for one-legged topological vertex*, arXiv:0910.4320.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100084, CHINA

E-mail address: jzhou@math.tsinghua.edu.cn